

27 June 1973

**MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD**

**SUBJECT: Turning Off the Stanford Research Institute  
Program, Stanford Research Institute  
Menlo Park, California**

1. On 13 June 1973, I personally discussed the reasons for terminating interest in the parapsychology investigations being completed by Dr. H. Puthoff and Mr. R. Targ. Dr. Puthoff and Mr. Targ were not present. The Stanford Research Institute personnel present were E. Jones group leader, B. Cox division chief, D. Shuich VP for research and C. Anderson president. Since the research of Puthoff and Targ is controversial even with SRI, I felt that to insure the reputations of the investigators was not unjustifiably harmed a more detailed explanation of our termination of the project was desirable and in the best interest of the Agency.

2. I explained that our interest in this area was at least temporarily being terminated not because of incompetency, lack of relevancy etc. Interest was being terminated because of a decision at the Director's level of management that the past publicity associated with various aspects of the investigations presented an unacceptability high potential for public exposure of the Agency's interest and consequent controversy for the Agency. The duration of this policy was unknown but it was effective immediately without exception.

3. All present seemed to understand our situation and attitude. Mr. Anderson, president of SRI, commented that perhaps SRI should terminate all work in this research area for analogous reasons. He expressed the feeling there was an inordinate drain of resources and management time concerning this research without correspondingly highly significant results. If Mr. Anderson's attitude remains unchanged, I expect all investigations in these areas will be terminated. It therefore appears that our decision will result in a complete cessation of active research in this area at SRI.

4. It would be unfortunate if this research area is buried because of its sensitivity. The results of the studies completed at SRI certainly indicate the existence of a type

of phenomena which is not well understood. This phenomena, if controllable does and will have profound implications for intelligence collection and security. I predict there will be renewed interest in paranormal abilities within the Agency at some future date and that the current decision for complete cessation of work will be regretted.

5. It is clear that giving the above information to SRI before the contract is completed could adversely effect their performance for the duration of the contract. The result may be that a poor final report will result because there is no incentive of follow-on projects. This possibility was well understood by myself. I felt, however, it was more important for the future relationships between Agency and SRI and the careers of the principle investigators that the situation be handled as described above.

  
OTS/CB

SG11